

2011 University of Tennessee Research and Extension and Tennessee State University
Extension Combined Annual Report of Accomplishments and Results Review

Status: Accepted

Date Accepted: 05/08/2012

2011 University of Tennessee Research and Extension and Tennessee State University Extension Combined Annual Report of
Accomplishments and Results Review

State: Tennessee

Institution(s):

- University of Tennessee
- Tennessee State University

Type of Report (Check all that apply)

- 1862 Research
- 1862 Extension
- 1890 Research
- 1890 Extension
- Tuskegee Research
- Tuskegee Extension

NPL Reviewers:

- Thomas Valco

Report Overview Section (Required):

Acceptable		
YES	NO	
<input checked="" type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>	Executive summary. (Suggested in Guidance)
<input checked="" type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>	Total FTEs are included for each appropriate institution of the Report

Comments:

This report represents the combined efforts of the University of Tennessee Extension, the Tennessee Agricultural Experiment Station, and the Tennessee State University Cooperative Extension Program. The combined report provides sufficient information to describe activities and accomplishments. The report also identifies good matching fund resources from state and industry partners.

Merit/Program Review Process Section (Required):

Acceptable		
YES	NO	
<input checked="" type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>	At least one process has been checked (including other) (required)

Comments:

The external university panel review was conducted by experts from Virginia Tech and the University of Maryland with documented success.

Stakeholder Input Process Section (Required):

Acceptable		
YES	NO	
<input checked="" type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>	(a) Actions taken to seek stakeholder input that encourages their participation (Required)
<input checked="" type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>	(b) Method used to identify groups and individuals (Required)
<input checked="" type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>	(c) Method used for collecting stakeholder input (Required)
<input checked="" type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>	(d) A statement of how collected input will be considered (Required)

Comments:

Extension programs and advisory Committees identified recruiting programs for new and past individuals that represent the diversity of the county or area served. Stakeholder input at the local, regional and statewide level is used to monitor and adjust deployment of the strategic plan. To illustrate this important process, consider that stakeholder input was used to modify these Tennessee programs identified as: Senior Living, Farmland Legacy Partnership, Tennessee Saves, and Tennessee Yards and Neighborhoods.

Planned Programs Section (Required):

Acceptable		
YES	NO	
<input checked="" type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>	Used Appropriate Logic Model Elements
<input checked="" type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>	Input Dollars Expended on Each Planned Program
<input checked="" type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>	Appropriate Knowledge Areas
<input checked="" type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>	Appropriate Outputs for each Program
<input checked="" type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>	Appropriate Outcomes for each Program

Comments:

Appropriate logic models and knowledge areas are utilized. Outputs and outcomes are identified. Programs such as the Power U curriculum in Tennessee schools and afterschool programs used the curriculum to teach diet quality to young adolescents and provide much needed training.

General Recommendations:

University of Tennessee Extension, the Tennessee Agricultural Experiment Station, and the Tennessee State University Cooperative Extension Program demonstrate a cooperative relationship in implementing those programs needed for Tennesseans. All five NIFA challenge areas are reported. There is good use of eXtension as a valuable resource and build participation in programs. Continue to develop Climate Change programs to provide meaningful evaluation results.

We hereby recommend NIFA acceptance of this Annual Report.

Thomas Valco /s/

05/03/2012

NPL Signature

Date