

2008 University of Tennessee Research and Extension and Tennessee State
University Extension Combined Annual Report of Accomplishments and Results
Review

Status: Submitted

Date Submitted: 06/06/09

2008 University of Tennessee Research and Extension and Tennessee State University Extension Combined Annual Report of
Accomplishments and Results Review

State: Tennessee

Institutions(s):

- University of Tennessee
- Tennessee State University

Type of Report (Check all that apply)

- 1862 Research
- 1862 Extension
- 1890 Research
- 1890 Extension
- Tuskegee Research
- Tuskegee Extension

NPL Reviewers:

- Etta Saltos
- Greg Crosby

Report Overview Section (Required):

Acceptable		
YES	NO	
<input checked="" type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>	Executive summary. (Suggested in Guidance)
<input checked="" type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>	Total FTEs are included for each appropriate institution of the Report

Comments:

The report continues to be excellent overall. There were only a few minor comments for some individual programs.

Merit/Program Review Process Section (Required):

Acceptable		
YES	NO	
<input checked="" type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>	At least one process has been checked (including other) (required)

Comments:

The merit review process is thoroughly described. The collaboration with Maryland and Virginia to conduct the external review panel is a good idea and their recommendation to focus reporting on fewer, more important outcomes is an excellent one.

Stakeholder Input Process Section (Required):

Acceptable		
YES	NO	
<input checked="" type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>	(a) Actions taken to seek stakeholder input that encourages their participation (Required)
<input checked="" type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>	(b) Method used to identify groups and individuals (Required)
<input checked="" type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>	(c) Method used for collecting stakeholder input (Required)
<input checked="" type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>	(d) A statement of how collected input will be considered (Required)

Comments:

A detailed description of how stakeholder input is collected and used is included.

Planned Programs Section (Required):

Acceptable		
YES	NO	
<input checked="" type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>	Used Appropriate Logic Model Elements
<input checked="" type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>	Input Dollars Expended on Each Planned Program
<input checked="" type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>	Appropriate Knowledge Areas
<input checked="" type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>	Appropriate Outputs for each Program
<input checked="" type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>	Appropriate Outcomes for each Program

Comments:

The change in emphasis in the Youth Development area to goal-setting appears reasonable. However, reviewers were disappointed to see that the Youth Development program still cites only one Knowledge Area. In some cases, there are a large number of overlapping outcomes reported that perhaps could be combined. For example, in the Food Safety, Quality and Nutrition and the Health and Safety programs, instead of reporting separate outcomes for participants who eat five servings of fruits and vegetables, three servings of dairy, etc., these could be combined and reported as participants who made improvements in their diet to meet Dietary Guidelines for Americans recommendations. The Family Economics program also has a very large number of somewhat redundant outcomes listed. If the number of outcomes to report were reduced, it might allow more time to provide additional qualitative outcome statements, as was recommended last year.

General Recommendations:

Overall, this is still an excellent annual report, but as noted in last year's review, additional qualitative outcome statements would strengthen it.

We hereby recommend CSREES acceptance of this Annual Report.

Etta Saltos /s/

NPL Signature

06/06/2009

Date

Greg Crosby /s/

NPL Signature

06/06/2009

Date