

**USDA-CSREES FY 2006 STATE ANNUAL REPORT
REVIEW TEAM SUMMARY**

State: Tennessee

Institution: University of Tennessee

State Contact: Joseph DiPietro, Charles Goan, Clyde Chesney, and Thomas Klindt

Type of Report: Combined 1862 Research and Extension and 1890 Extension

NPL Reviewers: Greg Crosby and Bryon Garrett

This Review Team Summary reflects the consensus opinion of the review team members regarding the Annual Report.

Strengths of the Impact Statements in the Planned Programs: (Related to important impacts and any other statements that highlight programmatic strength)

The major strength of this report is the use of metrics to relate outputs, outcomes, and impacts. It does a thorough job of reporting quantifiable data within every goal and key themes. The investments are reported and rendered in ways that indicate the Tennessee public is well served by these institutions.

Weaknesses of the Impact Statements in the Planned Programs:

None noted by reviewers.

Stakeholder Input Process Comments: (make comments on the three requirements on the checklist)

The described stakeholder input process is detailed, thorough, and achieves the desired high quality results from the Federal and State perspective--a commendable process.

Not certain by extension specialists and agents are not listed among the membership of the department input groups to determine research priorities.

Program Review Process Comments:

Just an excellent description of how the process was planned, criteria adopted, and program review implemented.

Evaluation of the Success of Multi and Joint Activities Comments:

No reviewer comments.

Multistate Extension Comments: (If Applicable) (provide comments on Accomplishments, or lack thereof, in spending formula funds)

No reviewer comments.

Integrated Research and Extension Comments: (provide comments on Accomplishments, or lack thereof, in spending formula funds)

Most of the accomplishments were reported as outputs rather than outcomes in this section.

Final General Comments:

This is an excellent annual report and difficult to offer constructive criticism other than keep doing what you are doing.